

1  
2  
3  
4  
5                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7                   AT TACOMA

8 SYBILLA RANDOLPH, et al.,

9                   Plaintiffs,

10                 v.

11 CENTENE MANAGEMENT  
12 COMPANY, LLC,

13                   Defendant.

CASE NO. C14-5730 BHS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION TO MODIFY  
SCHEDULING ORDER

14                  This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs Sybilla Randolph, Katherine  
15 Adams, Connie Malaska, Queonna Jackson, and Christine Koch's ("Plaintiffs") motion to  
16 modify scheduling order (Dkt. 119). Plaintiffs seek to extend the deadline for class  
17 certification in light of two pending discovery motions. *Id.* Defendant Centene  
18 Management Company, LLC ("Centene") opposes the motion, arguing Plaintiffs have not  
19 shown good cause to extend the deadline. Dkt. 121 at 2.

20                  The Court agrees with Centene. Under the local rules, a plaintiff must move for  
21 class certification within 180 days of filing the complaint. Local Rules, W.D. Wash.  
22

1 LCR 23(i)(3). The deadline for class certification has already been extended several  
2 times in this case. *See* Dkts. 55, 97, 109. After reviewing the parties' briefing and the  
3 remainder of the file, the Court finds that Plaintiffs have not shown good cause to extend  
4 the class certification deadline for a fourth time. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) ("A  
5 schedule may be modified only for good cause and with the judge's consent."); Local  
6 Rules, W.D. Wash. LCR 23(i)(3) (deadline for class certification "may be extended on a  
7 motion for good cause"). Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' motion to  
8 modify scheduling order (Dkt. 119) is **DENIED**.

9 Dated this 11th day of January, 2016.

10  
11   
12 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22